

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

P

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/629,800	07/30/2003	Makoto Haseyama	000339A	7927	
38834	7590 02/24/2005	02/24/2005		EXAMINER	
WESTERMAN, HATTORI, DANIELS & ADRIAN, LLP			ARBES, CARL J		
1250 CONN SUITE 700	ECTICUT AVENUE, NW		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	TON, DC 20036		3729		

DATE MAILED: 02/24/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
•	10/629,800	HASEYAMA ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	C. J. Arbes	3729			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).					
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10 January 2005.					
, ,	is action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowed	, -				
Disposition of Claims					
 4) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 1-11 and 14-20 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 12 and 13 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 					
Application Papers					
 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on 30 July 2003 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. 					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	•				
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 10/629,800. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date.					
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 		atent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/629,800

Art Unit: 3729

Applicants' response filed on or about 10 January 2005 to the Office's restriction requirement has been duly noted. The Office finds and holds that the Restriction, which was mailed on or about 10 December 2004 is proper and correct. In view of this holding and further in view of Applicants' response, the Restriction is hereby **made**Final. Applicants therefore are required to cancel all non-elected claims or take other appropriate action.

An Action on the merits of Claims 12 and 13 follows.

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 12 and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Fjelstad et al (Patent No. 5,802,699). The teaching of Fjelstad et al stands by itself and therefore no specific explanation should be required with respect to how the teaching compares with Applicants' claimed invention. However for the sake of completeness the reader is solicited to carefully read and understand the evidence to the Fjelstad et al particularly Figure 14 In conjunction with column 17. Moreover from the discussion of Column 6 lines 20ff it is seen that the contacts can be deformed by the electrodes.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 10/629,800

Art Unit: 3729

Claims 12 and 13 are alternatively rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Fjelstad et al .

Fjelstad et al teach *inter alia* a method of making a contactor for testing an electronic component having a plurality of electrodes (Cf. Abstract). A wiring substrate (Cf. e.g. Figure 14) has contacts which are provided (or constructed) to protrude over holes in the wiring substrate. (Cf. Col. 17 as well as Fig. 14) One also can clearly see joining sections 555 (in Fig 14) which can act as reinforcement members (Cf. Claim 13 of the instant application). If in fact it is not taught or inherently present in the Fjelstad et al document (which it is believed that it is present) it would have been obvious to plastically deform the conductive layer of the contacts at (of course) the holes or positions corresponding to the electrodes.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to C. J. Arbes whose telephone number is 571-272-4563. The examiner can normally be reached on M, T, R, and F from 8 to 6.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, P. Vo, can be reached on 571-272-4690. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

Application/Control Number: 10/629,800

Art Unit: 3729

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

C. J. Arbes

Primary Examiner Art Unit 3729